

The allegation made against Qutubul Aalam Hadhrat Moulana Rasheed Ahmad Gangohi RAH. is that he has issued the Fatwa that (Allaah forbid!) Allaah speaks lies.

This is a blatant lie which Hadhrat Moulana also refuted during his lifetime. he clearly wrote that any person making such a filthy statement is a Kaafir. The following appears in his Fataawaa Rasheediyyah:

Q: Imaan-25 : What do the Ulema have to say about whether lying can be attributed to Allaah or not. Does Allaah speak lies or not? What is the position of a person who states that Allaah speaks lies?

Answer: The being of Allaah is pure from lies being attributed to it. (Allaah Forbid! There is absolutely no question of even doubting whether Allaah's speech contains any lies. Allaah says, "And who can be more truthful in speech than Allaah. " The person who believes that Allaah speaks lies or even mentions it is a Kaafir in no uncertain terms. He is accursed and clearly opposed to the Qur'aan. The Ahadeeth and the consensus of the Ummah. He can never be a Mu'min. "Allaah is high above all that the oppressors say?(Fataawaa Rasheediyyah Vol.1 Pg.18)

Against Taajul Muhadditheen Siraaajul Murraazireen Hadhrat Moulana Khaleel Ahmad Sahaaranluri Muhaajir Madani RAH. the accusation is levelled that he stated in his book Baraaheene Qaati'ah that (Allaah forbid!) Shaytaan's knowledge is more than Rasulullaah (SAW.)

This allegation is also false and baseless. He was asked about same allegation, to which he wrote a reply. The question and reply has been printed in the booklet As Sahaabul Midraar. We shall quote it here in brief:

To the honourable Moulana Khaleel Ahmad Sahib chief lecturer at Mazaahirul Uloom Sahaaranpur RAH.

In his book Husaamul Haramain, Molvi Ahmad Riza Khan Berelvi has stated that in your book Baraaheene Qaati'ah, you have mentioned that Iblees's knowledge is more than that of Rasulullaah (SAW.) (Allaah forbid!). We wish enquire about the following:

1. Have you clearly stated this in Baraaheene Qaati'ah or in other works?
2. If you have not stated it clearly, could anything you wrote understood to imply this in any way?
3. If you have neither stated this in clear words or implied it in any way then do you regard a person as a Muslim who believes that Iblees's knowledge is greater than that of Rasulullaah (SAW.)?
4. What is really meant by the extract of Baraaheene Qaati'ah that Molvi Ahmad Riza Khan has

quoted?

REPLY: The allegation that Ahmad Riza Khan Berelvi has made against me is baseless and futile. My teachers are all of the opinion that a person will be a Kaafir, accursed and outside the fold of Islaam if he regards the knowledge of the accursed Shaytaan or of any other creation to be greater than that of Rasulullaah (SAW.) knowledge. This is stated on page 4 of Baraaheene Qaati'ah. Even the most insignificant Muslim does not regard anyone to be Rasulullaah (SAW.) equal in status and perfection.

Ahmad Riza Khan will surely be taken to task on the Day of Qiyaamah for this allegation he has made against me. The blasphemous statement the Shaytaan's knowledge is more than that of Rasulullaah (SAW.) knowledge is neither stated clearly nor by implication anywhere in Baraaheene Qaati'ah Ahmad Riza Khan has therefore only lied about me because never in my entire life has the thought even crossed my mind that, let alone Shaytaan. The knowledge of any saint or angel is equal to that of Rasulullaah (SAW.) knowledge. This is still far thinking that their knowledge can even be greater than Rasulullaah (SAW.) knowledge.

This belief that Khan Sahib has attributed to me is one of pure kufr, for which he will be taken to task on the Day of Qiyaamah. I am completely innocent of it. Allaah Suffices as a Witness.

People of Islaam should carefully study the text of Baraaheene Qaati'ah to understand well what was meant.

Khaleel Ahmad

Fatawa Rahimiyyah Vol.1

?